# Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached replacement drawing sheet makes changes to Fig. 2 and replaces the original sheet with Fig. 2.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

#### REMARKS

Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, the specification and drawings are amended. No new matter is added. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Entry of the amendments to the specification and drawings is proper under 37 CFR §1.116 since the amendments satisfy a requirement of form asserted in the previous Office Action. Entry of the amendments is thus respectfully requested.

#### I. Summary of Interview

Applicants appreciate the courtesies shown to Applicants' representatives by Examiner Zervigon in the October 31, 2006 telephone interview. During the interview, Examiner Zervigon acknowledged that, contrary to statements made in the Office Action mailed September 11, 2006 the claim of priority of the present application to Japanese Patent Application 11-27426, filed September 28, 1999 may still be perfected.

### II. Perfection of Claim of Priority

An accurate translation of the certified priority document is enclosed with this Amendment to perfect Applicants' claim of priority to Japanese Patent Application 11-27426, filed September 28, 1999.

#### III. Amendments to the Specification

The specification is amended to include a numeric identifier for the described "reserve space" described in the paragraph starting at page 9, line 2, of the original specification with respect to Fig. 2 of the original specification.

Specifically, the phrase "reserve space" is replaced with the phrase "reserve space 51."

## IV. Objection to the Drawings

The Office Action objects to the drawings for not showing the "reserve space" recited in the claims.

Fig. 2 is amended to specifically identify the reserve space shown in Fig. 2, and described in the original specification at least at page 9, lines 2-13.

Specifically, the reserve space shown in Fig. 2 is provided with a numeric identifier, and the description of Fig. 2 in the specification has been amended accordingly, as addressed above.

Withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is respectfully requested.

#### V. Rejection under §102

The Office Action rejects claims 1-2 and 5-6 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent No. 6,892,669 to Xu et al. ("Xu"). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites a CVD system "wherein... radicals in the plasma are introduced into the film deposition chamber from the plasma generator via through holes of said lower plate that are distributed across said lower plate,... [the] lower plate further including a reserve space and a plurality of diffusion holes that are distributed across said lower plate and interspersed with said through holes, whereby a surface area of said lower plate includes an interspersed distribution of both diffusion holes and through holes, and said material gas is directly supplied into the film deposition chamber through said reserve space and said plurality of diffusion holes to react with said radicals supplied through said through holes in the film deposition chamber."

Support for this feature may be found throughout the original specification and drawings. For example, specific support may be found at least page 9, lines 2-13; page 10, lines 14-21; and Fig. 2.

Xu is a continuing application of U.S. patent application 09/435,625 (filed November 8, 1999), which is a continuing application of U.S. patent application 09/255,852 (filed February 23, 1999, and issued as U.S. Patent 6,245,396 on June 12, 2001).

As addressed above, an accurate translation of the certified priority document is submitted to perfect the claim for priority. The September 28, 1999 priority date of the present application is before the May 24, 2001 <u>actual</u> filing date of Xu and before the November 8, 1999 <u>actual</u> filing date of U.S. patent application 09/435,625, but after the February 23, 1999 <u>effective</u> filing date of Xu (i.e., the filing date of the parent application that matured into U.S. Patent 6,245,396, hereinafter the "396 patent").

In rejecting the present application under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), the Office Action assumes that the features described in Xu and relied upon by the Examiner as a basis for the rejection are supported by the earlier applications. This is incorrect.

For example, with respect to the lower plate recited in the claims of the present application, the Office Action cites col. 6, lines 1-36; col. 6, lines 60-67; col. 7, lines 1-15; and Figure 1, specifically, partitioning wall section 14, interior space 24, and diffusion holes 26. Applicant respectfully submits that none of the cited passages and none of the Figure features (i.e., 14, 24, 26) are described in the 396 patent.

For example, a cross-section of partitioning wall section 14 is described in Xu with respect to Fig. 2 and includes interior space sections 24a and 24b, as well as diffusion holes 26 and through-holes 25. However, the corresponding feature in the parent 396 patent (i.e., partitioning plate 15), as described in the 396 patent with respect to Fig. 2, only includes through-holes 22 and does <u>not</u> include interior space sections 24a and 24b and does not include diffusion holes 26 (e.g., see the 396 patent at col. 4, lines 6-15 and Fig. 2).

Based on the above, Applicants respectfully submit that the features cited by the Office Action from Xu as the basis for rejecting the present claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) are not entitled to the filing date of the 396 patent and, therefore, cannot be relied upon to reject claims that include such features.

Application No. 09/670,877

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1 is patentably distinguishable

from the cited reference for at least the reasons addressed above. Claim 5 includes features

similar to claim 1 and, therefore, is patentably distinguishable from the cited reference for at

least the same reasons. Claims 2 and 6 depend from claims 1 and 5, respectively, and are

likewise patentably distinguishable over the applied art for at least their dependence on

allowable base claims, as well as for additional features they recite. Accordingly, withdrawal

of this rejection is respectfully requested.

VI. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in

condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-2 and

5-6 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place

this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff

Registration No. 27,075

J. Adam Neff

Registration No. 41,218

JAO:JMH/jam

Attached: Replacement Drawing Sheet

Date: December 11, 2006

Oliff & Berridge, plc P.O. Box 19928

Alexandria, Virginia 22320

Telephone: (703) 836-6400

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461

-7-